

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/828,761	04/20/2004	Shyuan-Jeng Ho	1176/209	5240	
	26588 7590 04/13/2007 LIU & LIU			EXAMINER	
444 S. FLOWER STREET SUITE 1750			ABDULSELAM, ABBAS I		
LOS ANGELES, CA 90071			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2629	,	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	NTHS	04/13/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

·	<del></del>		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)
	Office Anti Communication	10/828,761	HO ET AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
		Abbas I. Abdulselam	2629
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Faill Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
·	Decreasing to accomplish ( ) (1)	10 mah 0000	
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 M</u>		
′=	,—	s action is non-final.	
3)	Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	•	
	closed in accordance with the practice under z	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	455 O.G. 215.
Disposit	ion of Claims		
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application		•
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.	
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		/
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.		
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
Applicati	ion Papers		
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	<b>.</b>	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) _ acc		e Evaminer
10)[	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		• •
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		
		•	
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).
a)	☑ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		·
	1. Certified copies of the priority document		•
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ved in this National Stage
* 0	application from the International Bureau	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ved.
Attachmen	t(s)		
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal	
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <i>3/14/06</i> .	6) Other:	газент Аррисацоп
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-/	

Application/Control Number: 10/828,761

Art Unit: 2629

### **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Independent claims 1 and 8 recite "...the driver is supported in electrical connections the primary display module and the secondary display module (s) via the connector". This limitation is unclear. It is unclear as to how the support of the driver relates with respect to primary/secondary display modules. Proper correction is needed.

Claims 2-7, and 9-14 are also rejected by the virtue of their dependencies on claims 1 and 8 respectively.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,761

Art Unit: 2629

4. Claims 1 and 8 and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Toba (USPN 6907276).

Regarding claim 1, Toba (USPN 6907276) teaches a dual-display panel module (col. 6, lines 48-49, Fig. 1 (1), mobile communication terminal (1)), comprising: a primary display module (col. 6, lines 51-52, Fig. 1 (5), Fig. 7 (5), main display unit (5)); a secondary display module (col. 6, line 54, Fig. 2 (11), Fig. 7 (11), external display unit (11)); a connector (Fig. 7 (27, 28)) electrically connecting the primary display module and secondary display module (col. 15, lines 24-29, Fig 7 (25, 27, 28, 5, 11), the main display unit (5) is connected with an LCD driver (25) via a switch (27) and the external display unit (11) is connected with the LCD driver (25) via a switch (28)); and a driver (Fig. 7 (25)) operatively coupled to the primary display module (Fig. 7 (5)) and secondary display module (Fig. 7 (11)), wherein the driver is supported in electrical connections the primary display module and the secondary display modules via the connector (as best understood by the examiner, col. 15, lines 24-29, Fig 7 (5, 11, 25), both the main display unit (5), and the external display unit (11) are connected with an LCD driver (25) via switches (27, 28)).

Regarding claim 8, Toba teaches an electronic device, comprising: a dual display module comprising (col. 6, lines 48-49, Fig. 1 (1), mobile communication terminal (1)):

Art Unit: 2629

a primary display module (col. 6, lines 51-52, Fig. 1 (5), Fig. 7 (5), main display unit (5)); a secondary display module (col. 6, line 54, Fig. 2 (11), Fig. 7 (11), external display unit (11)); a connector (Fig. 7 (27, 28)) electrically connecting the primary display module and secondary display module (col. 15, lines 24-29, Fig 7 (25, 27, 28, 5, 11), the main display unit (5) is connected with an LCD driver (25) via a switch (27) and the external display unit (11) is connected with the LCD driver (25) via a switch (28)); and a driver (Fig. 7 (25)) operatively coupled to the primary display module (Fig. 7 (5)) and secondary display module (Fig. 7 (11)). wherein the driver is supported in electrical connections the primary display module and the secondary display modules via the connector (as best understood by the examiner, col. 15, lines 24-29, Fig 7 (5, 11, 25), both the main display unit (5), and the external display unit (11) are connected with an LCD driver (25) via switches (27, 28)); and a controller (Fig. 7 (21), control circuit (21)) operatively coupled to the dual display module (as shown in Fig. 7, the control circuit (21) is part of a circuit structure of a mobile communication terminal (1)) and communicating display data to the dual display module (col. 16, lines 7-11, col. 16, lines 22-32, Fig. 7 (5, 11, 21), the control circuit (21) controls the LCD driver (25) and call arrival is displayed on the external display (11), col. 16, lines 32-38, the control circuit (21) controls the LCD driver (25) in order to display and delete on the external display (11), and also to display nonreplied data on the main display unit (5)).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,761 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2-4 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toba (USPN 6907276) in view of Aoki et al. (USPN 7184010).

Regarding claims 2-3 and 9-10, While Toba teaches switches (27, 28) as shown in Fig. 7,

Toba does not teach the connector is substantially flexible, and the connector is a flexible printed circuit board.

Aoki et al (USPN 7184010) on the other hand teaches as shown in Fig. 4 a flexible printed circuit board (30) is connected to a side of the liquid crystal display panel (1)(col. 6, lines 7-12).

Thus, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Toba's switches (27, 28) shown in Fig. 7 with Aoki's flexible printed circuit board (30) as configured in Fig. 4, because the use of flexible printed circuit board (30) helps constitute a liquid crystal display device 100 as taught by Aoki et al (col. 6, lines 7-10).

Regarding claims 4 and 11, while Toba teaches the LCD driver (25) as shown in Fig. 7,

Toba does not teach the driver is formed on the connector.

Aoki et al (USPN 7184010) on the other hand teaches as shown in Fig. 4 a flexible printed circuit board (30) is connected to a side of the liquid crystal display panel (1) such that a second driving circuit 5B is mounted on the flexible printed circuit board (30) (col. 6, lines 7-12).

Thus, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Toba's driver (25) shown in Fig. 7 with Aoki's arrangement of flexible printed circuit board (30), which is under driving circuit (5B) as configured in Fig. 4, because the use of flexible printed circuit board (30) helps constitute a liquid crystal display device 100 as taught by Aoki et al (col. 6, lines 7-10).

Art Unit: 2629

7. Claims 5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toba (USPN 6907276) in view of Sekura et al. (USPN 6198383).

Regarding claims 5 and 12, While Toba teaches LCD driver (25) as shown in Fig. 7,

Toba does not teach the driver is an ASIC.

Sekura et al. (USPN 6198383) on the other hand teaches as shown in Fig. 2 an LCD display 3 with an ASIC LCD driver (11) (col. 4, lines 11-13).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Toba's LCD driver (25) shown in Fig. 7 to adapt Sekura's ASIC LCD driver (11) shown in Fig. 2, because the use of ASIC LCD driver (11) is preferable in driving the display (3) to display relevant information in display area (31-35) of a compliance device as taught by Sekura col. 3, lines 55-56, col. 4, lines 9-15).

8. Claims 6-7 and 13-14 are and are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Toba (USPN 6907276) and Jacobsen et al. (USPN 6073034).

Regarding claims 6 and 13, While Toba teaches both the main display unit (5) and the external display unit (11) as being liquid crystal near displays (col. 6, lines 60-65, Fig. 7 (5, 11)),

Toba does not specifically at least one of the primary and secondary display panels comprises an amorphous silicon TFT-LCD panel.

However, it is know as mentioned by Jacobsen et al. (USPN 6073034) that flat panel displays utilizing LCD with TFT formation involves the use of amorphous silicon (col. 1, lines 31-36, col. 1, lines 45-47).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Toba's liquid crystal displays (5, 11) shown in Fig. 7 with Jacobsen's known TFT formation involving amorphous silicon, because the use of TFT formation involving amorphous silicon helps function flat panel displays employing LCD as taught by Jacobsen (col. 1, lines 31-36, col. 1, lines 45-47).

Regarding claims 7 and 14, while Toba teaches both the main display unit (5) and the external display unit (11) as being liquid crystal near display (col. 6, lines 60-65, Fig. 7 (5, 11)),

Toba does not teach at least one of the primary and secondary display panels comprises a low temperature polysilicon TFT-LCD panel.

However, it is know as mentioned by Jacobsen et al. (USPN 6073034) that flat panel displays utilizing LCD with TFT formation involves the use of polycrystalline silicon, which restricts circuit processing to low temperature (col. 1, lines 31-36, col. 1, lines 45-47, col. 1 lines 66-67, col. 2, lines 1-3).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Toba's liquid crystal displays (5, 11) shown in Fig. 7 with Jacobsen's known TFT formation involving polycrystalline silicon, because the use of TFT formation involving polycrystalline silicon helps function flat panel displays employing LCD as taught by Jacobsen (col. 1, lines 31-36, col. 1, lines 45-47).

#### Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following prior art are cited for further reference.
- U.S. Pat. No. 7,162,209 to Ono teaches as shown in FIG. 8 two front casings of the foldable cellular phone set.
- U.S. Pat. No. 7,111,069 to Narioka et al (FIGS. 2 through 7) illustrates typical structures of a portable personal computer (1) having a sub-notebook type PC, which is constituted primarily by a body 22 and a display unit 23 attached swingingly to the body 22. FIG. 2 is an external perspective view of the personal computer 1 with the display unit 23 swung open away from the body 22 (col. 5, lines 27-30).

Application/Control Number: 10/828,761

Art Unit: 2629

Page 10

U.S. Pat. No. 6,917,348 to Demsky et al. teaches a video adapter system having

connections to two video output ports of a computer system supporting dual displays (col. 1,

lines 39-35).

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Abbas I. Abdulselam whose telephone number is 571-272-7685.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 5:30 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Hjerpe, can be reached on 571-272-7691. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Abbas I Abdulselam

Examiner

Art Unit 2629

March 23, 2007
Mars Modulselle